

Direct numerical simulation of a hypersonic boundary layer with porous wall injection

<u>Ralf Deiterding</u>, Adriano Cerminara, Neil Sandham

Department of Aerospace Engineering, University of Southampton

17/11/2020



Contents

- Introduction
- Numerical method
- General setup and solver verification & validation
- Flat plate with slot injection
- Flat plate with injection through porous material
- Conclusions

Part of EPSRC Programme Grant *Transpiration Cooling Systems* for Jet Engine Turbines and Hypersonic Flight http://transpirationcooling.eng.ox.ac.uk



Film cooling



Film cooling (Fitt et al., 1985, 1994): effusion cooling vs transpiration cooling

□ Effusion cooling (Wittig et al. 1996, Baldauf et al. 2001): coolant injected by localized holes

- > 3D effects induced in the BL, which promote transition
- > Typically used on gas-turbine blades (turbulent BL)
- □ **Transpiration cooling** (Meinert et al. 2001, Langener et al. 2011): fluid injected through a porous material
 - More homogeneous injection
 - Higher effectiveness



Purpose of direct numerical simulation

- Understand the physics of blowing in hypersonic flow through porous surfaces
- Predict cooling performance heat transfer rates
- Estimate the effects on BL stability and transition
- Validate results with experiments





Numerical method for full Navier-Stokes equations

- Finite volume approach in a Cartesian reference system with embedded boundaries
- Structured-adaptive-mesh-refinement (SAMR) algorithm (AMROC Deiterding, 2005 & 2011): the Cartesian grid is **locally refined** by adding consecutive finer grid levels during the iteration cycles (patch-wise refinement strategy)
- Hybrid WENO-CD method up to 6th -order accurate for both inviscid and viscous fluxes (Cerminara, Deiterding, Sandham, 2018)
- Central Differencing (CD) scheme used in the smooth regions:

E.g. for 6th -order, the numerical flux at the interfaces is evaluated as

$$\hat{f}_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = \alpha(f_{i+3} + f_{i-2}) + \beta(f_{i+2} + f_{i-1}) + \gamma(f_i + f_{i+1})$$

$$\alpha = 1/60 \quad \beta = -2/15 \quad \gamma = 37/60$$

- 3rd -order Runge-Kutta for time integration
- **Characteristic-based switch** to a high-resolution WENO scheme to handle discontinuities in a computationally efficient way (Hill and Pullin, 2004)



Shock-capturing scheme

- Weighted-essentialy-non-oscillatory symmetric order-optimized (WENO-SYMOO) scheme (Martin et al. 2006)
- Reduced dissipation (compared to 5th-order WENO-JS, Jiang and Shu 1996), and 6th maximum formal order of accuracy, reached through:
 - Symmetrization: a fourth candidate stencil is added to the initial (r=3)-points upwinded-biased candidate stencils of the WENO-JS
 - 2. Optimal weights C_k to guarantee 6th-order accuracy

$$\hat{f}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{+} = \sum_{k=0}^{r} \omega_{k} q_{k}^{r} \quad q_{k}^{r} = \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} d_{k,l}^{r} f(u_{i-r+k+l+1}) \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{r-th-order polynomial} \\ \text{interpolation on the k-th stencil} \\ \omega_{k} = \frac{\alpha_{k}}{\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \alpha_{k}} \quad \text{weight of} \\ \frac{\alpha_{k}}{\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \alpha_{k}} \quad \text{the k-th} \\ \text{interp.} \\ IS_{k} = \sum_{m=1}^{r-1} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{r-1} b_{k,m,l}^{r} f(u_{i-r+k+l+1}) \right)^{2} \quad \overbrace{smoothness} \\ \text{indicator} \end{array}$$

Scheme switch

- Riemann problem is solved at each cell interface through Roe's averaged state
- Lax condition is applied to detect shock/rarefaction waves

 $|u_R \pm a_R| < |u^* \pm a^*| < |u_L \pm a_L|$

- A threshold is applied to the residuals between the left/right state and the intermediate state to select the strong waves and neglect the weak ones (Ziegler et al., 2011)
- Another threshold is applied to the function $\phi(\theta_i)$ to allow WENO only in the high pressure-gradient regions (Ziegler et al., 2011):

$$\phi(\theta_i) = \frac{2\theta_i}{(1+\theta_i)^2} \qquad \theta_i = \frac{|p_{i+1} - p_i|}{|p_{i+1} + p_i|}$$



Flat plate studies: Flow conditions and domain set-up

- $M_{\infty} = 5$
- $Re_m = 12.6 \times 10^6 \ 1/m$
- $T_{\infty}^* = 81.7 \ K$
- $T_{0\infty}^* = 490.2 K$
- $U_{\infty}^* = 906 \, m/s$
- $\rho_{\infty}^* = 0.078 \ Kg/m^3$
- $p_{\infty}^* = 1.832 \times 10^3 Pa$
- $\rho_{\infty}^* U_{\infty}^{*2} = 6.4 \times 10^4 Pa$
- $\mu_{\infty}^* = 5.6 \times 10^{-6} \ Kg/(m \cdot s)$
- $T_w^* = T_{ad}^* = 5.24 T_\infty^*$
- $\tilde{x}_0^* = 127 \ mm$
- $\tilde{x}_{in}^* = 182 \ mm$
- $x_{in}^* = 55 mm$



 $\tilde{x}^* = distance \ from \ LE$ $x^* = distance \ from \ inflow \ in \ the$ $domain \ coordinate \ system$



Initialization

From the inflow profile, the displacement thickness of the laminar BL in the initial solution grows in the streamwise direction, following the relation:

$$rac{\delta^*(ilde{\chi}^*)}{\delta_0^*} = \Delta rac{\sqrt{2Re_{ ilde{\chi}^*}}}{Re_{\delta_0^*}}$$
 ,

where $\Delta = 8.18$ (for the present case) is a scaling factor from the similarity solution.

The result for the initial state at all the *x* positions is:



Validation case: slot injection with multicomponent gas effects



Very good agreement with Keller et al. (2015) results of cooling effectiveness in a Mach 2.6 case

CO₂ injection 1.2 ⊖—num × exp present 0.8 0.6 5 0.4 0.2 0 -0.2 10^{0} 10^{1}



3D flat plate with blowing through finite slots



3D flat plate with blowing through slots





3D flat plate with blowing through slots



Cooling performed mainly at the slot sides and along the wake

Limited streamwise extent of the cooled region due to transition to turbulence



Oxford slot experiments

Characteristic length = 1 mm (BL displacement thickness at inflow)



Transitional flow state



LST to identify the most unstable frequencies and wavenumbers

Model to reproduce instability modes

$$v'(x, z, t) = \sum_{m=1} A \cos \left(\beta_m z + \phi_m\right) \cos \left[\alpha(x - x_0) - \omega t + \psi\right]$$



Most unstable mode: α =0.2, β =0.78





Heat flux and effectiveness predictions

Heat flux

Axial distance from leading edge \ mm Moderate 2D disturbance Experiment Moderate 3D disturbance Ideal - no disturbance High 3D disturbance 275300 325 350 400375 0.5 = 0.23 Experiment F = 0.23 Numerical F = 0.11 Experiment F = 0.11 Numerical F = 0.066 Experiment F = 0.066 Numerical F = 0.045 Experiment 0.4 F = 0.045 Numerical 20 Heat flux / kW/m^2 А 0.3 D Ľ 0.2 5 Е 0.1 2 0 0.2 0.05 0.1 0.15 0.25 0 75 80 85 90 95 100 Blowing ratio

Axial distace from injector \ mm

Effectiveness measure based on

concentration

(Cerminara et al., Aerospace Science Technology, 2020)



Modelling of porous material

- Original project proposal assumed grain structures of >50μm
- Used material has grains <2 μ m (cost of DNS in porous material increases by factor approx. 25⁴=390625)
- Our approach: model the porous media flow using regular sphere/cylinder arrays of resolvable mesoscopic size

Cylinders with radius of 200 μ m, pressure contours

20 25 30 35 40 45 50 55 60 65 70 75 80 85 90 95 100 105 110 115 120 125 130 135 140







Automatic adaptive mesh (vertical velocity)

Mesoscopic Darcy-Forchheimer model

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{K} + FRe_d\right) = \frac{\Delta p}{L}\frac{d^2}{\mu U} = D - F \operatorname{drag} \quad Re_c = \frac{\rho U_c L}{\mu} \quad U_c = \sqrt{\frac{\Delta p}{\rho}} \quad Re_d = \frac{qd}{\mu} = Re_c \left(\frac{d}{L}\right)q$$

$$q = \frac{1}{\rho U_C} \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L \rho u dx \qquad \qquad \frac{d}{L} = f(\varepsilon) \qquad \Delta p = \rho \left(\frac{Re_C \mu}{\rho L}\right)^2$$

- Objective: represent the pressure drop of microstructured material with larger cylinders
- Idea is to vary d but maintain q
- Preliminary study follows approach of Lee and Yang (1997)





Zoom in: y-momentum in porous layer for $d=12\mu m$



9

Matching with experiment in terms of blowing ratio, and rescaling to higher pore sizes

Quantifying integrated blowing ratio solution (q) for the different pore/particle sizes:

$$Re_{C} = 1.67$$

$$d = 12 \ \mu m \rightarrow q = 3.8 \ \times 10^{-3}$$

$$d = 24 \ \mu m \rightarrow q = 3.7 \ \times 10^{-3}$$

$$d = 48 \ \mu m \rightarrow q = 3.6 \ \times 10^{-3}$$

$$d = 96 \ \mu m \rightarrow q = 3.8 \ \times 10^{-3}$$

Simulation results show rescaling capability from very small pore sizes to higher scales in terms of the D-F behaviour



Streamwise velocity



Oxford ultra-high temperature ceramics experiment

- *M*=5, Re=12.6E6 1/m from Herman et al. HiSST (2018)
- *F_{porous}*=0.002, porosity 42% modelled with spheres of diameter *d*=340μm
- $p_0=1.3 p_{inf}$, to match blowing ratio
- 2000 x 300 x 100 mesh plus 2 levels refined factor 2





v-velocity: note specimen on left and transition to turbulence towards the right



Coolant concentration from top and side view

Comparison with suitable slot case

- Chose a slot case with comparable non-dimensional mass flow of injected coolant d=ρUA_{inj}
- *F_{porous}*=0.002, *F_{slots}*=0.045,
- $d_{slots} = 0.173, d_{porous} = 0.144$



Cooling effectiveness (averaged spanwise and in time)

Stanton number (averaged spanwise and in time)





(Cerminara, Deiterding, Sandham. Int. J. Heat Fluid Flow, 2020)

Conclusions

- A hybrid 6th order multi-species WENO/CD method in the AMROC framework for DNS of hypersonic boundary layers with wall injection has been implemented
 - Method is efficient, robust and runs well on large parallel systems
- Have developed a novel mesoscopic model for flow through porous structures that can be used with accurate hypersonic boundary layer DNS, thereby avoiding resolving the O(1-2 μ m) structures of the manufactured material
- Validation cases for both slot injection and porous injection have demonstrated a good agreement with available experimental results
- Level of realism in order to model realistic flight conditions will be increased further by supplementing the implementation with
 - Transient surface heat conduction modelling
 - Thermochemical and vibrational nonequilibrium models

